

Questionnaire for systematic assessment of the quality of a publication

Arlt, S.; Dicty, V.; Heuwieser, W. Clinic for Reproduction, Section of Production Medicine and Quality Management, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany, www.tiergyn.de

Materi	ial and methodology	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree	not determined
The objective of the study is presented							
2. Follo	wing information about the animals is given						
a)	Number of animals						
b)	Inclusion criteria						
c)	Housing						
d)	Breed						
e)	Age						
f)	Sex						
g)	Preconditions and pretreatments						
→ De	scription of material is clear and detailed						
3. The	examinations are described in detail						
4. Treat	4. Treatments						
a)	Information about the remedies or interventions (pharmaceutic agents, trade name, manufacturer) are given						
b)	The application of the remedy (pharmaceutical form, dose, treatment intervals) or conduction of interventions are described						
	ormation about treatments or interventions adequate						
	5. The monitoring is described in detail						
6. Results are presented completely							
7. Results are discussed critically							
I		strongly	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly	not
Study design 1. The study was controlled		agree				disagree	determined
	domisation is conducted						
	study is conducted in a blinded mannor					<u> </u>	
	ydesign is described in detail regarding					Ш	
	Prospectivity/Retrospectivity						
b)	Adequate control group (No. of animals,						
c)	comparability) Type of randomisation						
d)	Type of blinding						
→ De	escription of study design is clear and sailed					ä	

Statistics	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree	not determined					
Statistical tests are adequate											
2. No. of animals is adequate											
3. Level of significance is adequate											
4. Handling of missing data is adequate and comprehensable											
5. Analysis of data is adequate (intention-to-treat- analysis/ per-protocol-analysis, drop-out-analysis)											
Description of statistics is adequate and comprehensible											
Presentation & information content	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree	not determined					
1. The article is written objectively											
The summary represents the content sufficiently											
Other studies dealing with the topic are discussed											
The bibliography is adequate (extent and up to date)											
Practical applicability	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree	not determined					
1. Information is relevant for practice or science											
2. Applicability is discussed (techniques,											
required equipment and knowledge, costs) 3. Alternatives are discussed											
Side effects, failures and complications are discussed											
4.000000											
Conclusions											
Data is sufficient to draw valid conclusions											
☐ strongly agree ☐ neutral ☐ disagree ☐ strongly disagree											
2. Level of evidence of the article Can not be determined											
☐ Metaanalysis ☐ Randomized controlled trial ☐ Controlled trial ☐ Study without control group											
☐ Observational study ☐ Case report ☐ General information, description of experience											